

REMARKS

This paper is in response to the Office Action dated June 24, 2005. The Office Action indicates that the Amendment, filed on June 13, 2005, was not fully responsive since Applicant did not respond to the non-statutory double-patenting rejection in the March 13, 2005 Office Action. The June 24, 2005 Office Action sets a time limit, without penalty, to respond to the Office Action by July 24, 2005. Accordingly, a Terminal Disclaimer is being concurrently filed herewith in response to the non-statutory double-patenting rejection.

In the March 13, 2005 Office Action, claims 200-209 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double-patenting over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No.: 6,500,692. In response, a Terminal Disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No.: 6,500,692 is concurrently filed herewith. Accordingly, the obviousness type double patenting rejection with respect to claims 200-209 should be withdrawn.

In view of the above and the Amendment filed on June 13, 2005, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to review and pass this application to issue.

Dated: July 21, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorney for Applicant